## Topochemistry. Part XXXIV. ${ }^{1}$ Crystal and Molecular Structure of $\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ Bromochalcone

> By D. Rabinovich,* G. M. J. Schmidt, and (Mrs.) Z. Shaked, Department of Chemistry, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
> The crystal structure of $p^{\prime}$-bromochalcone has been solved from partial three-dimensional photographic data by the heavy-atom technique, and refined by a least-squares programme to $R^{\prime} 0.03$ and $R 0 \cdot 08$. Crystals are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c$ with $Z=8$ in a unit cell of dimensions: $a=15 \cdot 10(1), b=5 \cdot 90(1), c=31 \cdot 13(2) A$, $\beta=108 \cdot 6(1)^{\circ}$.
> Bond lengths and angles in the two molecules of the asymmetric unit, which agree within their estimated standard deviations of $0 \cdot 02 ~ A$ and $1 \cdot 0^{\circ}$, are similar to those observed in other chalcones. Both molecules are non-planar, the angles between the planes of the two phenyl groups being $50^{\circ}$.
> The molecules pack in stacks along [010] with the bromine atoms spiralling along the $2_{1}$ axes.

The structure analysis of $p^{\prime}$-bromochalcone has been undertaken as part of our studies on the correlation between molecular packing modes, molecular conformation, and solid-state photochemical reactivity.

$p^{\prime}$-Bromochalcone (I). Numbering of atoms used in the analysis of chalcone derivatives

## EXPERIMENTAL

The compound was prepared by the condensation of benzaldehyde and $p^{\prime}$-bromoacetophenone in alcoholic sodium hydroxide. ${ }^{2}$ Crystals grown (m.p. 104-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) from slowly cooled solutions in methylcyclohexane are monoclinic plates elongaged along [010], showing $\{001\}$ and $\{20 \overline{\mathbf{I}}\}$. Cell constants were determined from Weissenberg and precession photographs.

Crystal Data.- $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrO}, \quad M=287 \cdot 1, \quad a=15 \cdot 10(1)$, $b=5 \cdot 90(1), c=31 \cdot 13(2) \AA, \beta=108 \cdot 6(1), U=2628 \cdot 1 \AA^{3}$,
${ }^{1}$ E. Heller and G. M. J. Schmidt, Israel J. Chem., 1971, 9, 4, 449.
${ }^{2}$ Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. I, p. 78.
$D_{\mathrm{m}}=1 \cdot 44, Z=8, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1 \cdot 45, F(000)=1152$. Space group $P 2_{1} / c$ from systematic absences: $h 0 l$ for $l$ odd and $0 k 0$ for $k$ odd. $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation $\lambda=1 \cdot 4518 \AA ; \mu\left(\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}\right)=45 \cdot 1$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

A crystal mounted along [010], of dimensions $0.09 \times 0.20$ $\times 1.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used to record the intensities of the layers $h 0-4 l$ by the multiple-film equi-inclination Weissenberg technique; intensities were estimated visually. Data processing included absorption correction ${ }^{3}$ and spotshape correction ${ }^{4}$ for upper levels.

The [010] projection was solved by means of a sharpened Patterson synthesis ${ }^{\text {s }} P(x z)$, [with coefficients $F^{2}(h 0 l) / f^{2}$ where $\left.f=f_{\mathrm{Br}} \exp \left(-4.8 \sin ^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}\right)\right]$ which revealed the coordinates of the two bromine atoms; these were used to determine the signs of $F(h 0 l)$. Since the contributions of the bromine atoms to the largest structure factors, $F(404)$ and $F(40 \overline{2})$, were negligible Fourier maps were computed with the four sign-permutations of these two reflections; a molecular model could be fitted to one map only. The $x, z$ co-ordinates of the carbon and oxygen atoms thus determined were refined in a full-matrix least-squares programme together with anisotropic thermal parameters for bromine and isotropic parameters for all other atoms. Agreement factors at this stage were $R 0.08$ and $R^{\prime} 0.04\left[R^{\prime}=\right.$ $\left.\Sigma w\left(k^{2} F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w k^{4} F_{0}{ }^{4}\right]$.
${ }^{3}{ }^{\text {P. Coppens, }}$ L. Leiserowitz, and D. Rabinovich, Acta Cryst., 1965, 18, 1035.
${ }^{4}$ D. C. Phillips, Acta Cryst., 1956, 9, 819.

Table 1
Atomic co-ordinates (fractional $\times 10^{4}$ for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{O} ; \times 10^{5}$ for Br ) and standard deviations referred to axes $a, b, c$

|  | Molecule (1) |  |  | Molecule (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\overbrace{}^{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2549(8) | -290(21) | 6915(3) | 5098(8) | 4686(21) | 7001 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2132(8) | 1823(21) | 6934(3) | 5530(7) | 6783(21) | 7000(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1817(6) | 3164(15) | 6548(3) | 5343(6) | 8058(15) | 6612(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1909 (6) | 2376(16) | 6140(3) | 4718(6) | 7277(17) | $6202(3)$ |
| C(5) | 2297(7) | 225(17) | 6121 (3) | 4300(7) | 5126(19) | 6195(3) |
| C(6) | 2639(7) | -1070(16) | 6517(4) | 4489(7) | 3831 (18) | 6594(4) |
| C(7) | 1592(6) | 3864(15) | 5749(3) | 4480(6) | 8699(15) | 5797(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1475(7) | 3340(16) | 5316(3) | 4011(7) | 8141(16) | 5373(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1213(7) | 5119(22) | 4962 (3) | 3787(7) | 9954(21) | 5026(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 1270(5) | 7161(13) | 5054(2) | 3870(6) | 12016(13) | 5125(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 899(6) | 4340(16) | 4481 (3) | 3433(6) | 9278(17) | 4535(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1023(6) | 5867(16) | 4154(3) | 2901 (6) | 10863(16) | 4225(3) |
| C(13) | 716(6) | 5230(18) | 3700(3) | 2578(7) | 10313(19) | 3771(3) |
| C(14) | 306(6) | 3108(18) | 3584 (3) | 2809(7) | 8203(18) | 3637(3) |
| C(15) | 161 (7) | 1622(15) | 3889(3) | 3351 (7) | 6645(16) | 3933(3) |
| C(16) | 483(7) | 2205(15) | 4340 (3) | 3661 (6) | 7168(16) | 4396(3) |
| $\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 2798 | -1327 | 7177 | 5237 | 3767 | 7297 |
| H(18) | 2054 | 2402 | 7227 | 5932 | 7479 | 7265 |
| $\mathrm{H}(19)$ | 1525 | 4689 | 6564 | 5669 | 9498 | 6632 |
| $\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 2330 | -390 | 5824 | 3850 | 4466 | 5900 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21)$ | 2944 | -2534 | 6518 | 4192 | 2303 | 6590 |
| H(22) | 1444 | 5459 | 5811 | 4699 | 10306 | 5847 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 1564 | 1748 | 5231 | 3809 | 6495 | 5288 |
| $\mathrm{H}(24)$ | 1332 | 7418 | 4245 | 2751 | 12398 | 4329 |
| H(25) | 790 | 6295 | 3458 | 2180 | 11434 | 3541 |
| $\mathrm{Br}(26)$ | -689(9) | 23276(23) | 29605(3) | 23617(9) | 74980(23) | 30117(3) |
| $\mathrm{H}(27)$ | - 174 | 140 | 3784 | 3528 | 5149 | 3813 |
| $\mathrm{H}(28)$ | 416 | 1092 | 4562 | 4048 | 6027 | 4629 |

Table 2
Equations of best planes (in fractional co-ordinates) and relevant distances ( $\AA$ ) from planes

Molecule (1)
$-13 \cdot 202 x-2 \cdot 559 y+2 \cdot 231 z+1 \cdot 745=0$
Atom
${ }^{* C(1)}$
${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}(2)$
*C(3)
${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}(4)$
${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}(5)$
${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}(6)$
$\mathrm{C}(7)$
-0.003
0.011
-0.003
-0.013
0.013
-0.011
-0.063

Molecule (2)
Ring A
$-13 \cdot 184 x+2 \cdot 606 y+14 \cdot 750 z-4 \cdot 812=0$
0.014
-0.010
-0.004
0.012
-0.008
-0.006
0.100

Ring B

$$
13 \cdot 733 x-2.378 y-5.980 z+2 \cdot 472=0
$$

-0.047
-0.005
-0.002
-0.001
0.010
-0.018
0.016
0.053

$$
13.791 x+2.314 y-12.313 z-1.302=0
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.035 \\
-0.005 \\
0.010 \\
-0.003 \\
-0.008 \\
0.014 \\
-0.007 \\
-0.018
\end{array}
$$

1,3-enone system

|  | -enone system |
| :---: | :---: |
| $14.975 x+0.308 y-6.337 z+1.112$ | $=0$ |
| 0.153 | $14.906 x-0.314 y-14.250 z+1.882=0$ |
| -0.028 | -0.152 |
| 0.055 | 0.026 |
| -0.058 | -0.051 |
| 0.032 | 0.052 |
| -0.248 | -0.030 |
| 0.246 |  |

* Atoms used in the determination of best planes.

A three-dimensional sharpened Patterson line-synthesis yielded approximate $y$ co-ordinates of bromine atoms of ( $\frac{1}{4}$ ) and ( $\frac{3}{4}$ ) ; in view of the pseudo-special positions of the bromine atoms electron-density maps based on bromine contribution were likely to be not sufficiently informative; instead, the $y$ co-ordinates of the carbon and oxygen atoms were determined from the $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ vectors whose corresponding peaks were found on the Patterson linesyntheses ${ }^{\mathrm{s}} P\left(x_{\mathrm{i}} y z_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. Next, the three-dimensional structure was refined by means of a block-diagonal least-squares procedure (one block per molecule) with anisotropic thermal parameters for the bromine, carbon, and oxygen atoms. Fixed hydrogen atoms were introduced; after several refinement cycles the agreement factors were reduced to $R^{\prime} 0.04$ and $R 0 \cdot 10$.

Comparison of $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ at this stage showed that for a large number of reflections, mainly those for which the absorption correction was large, $F_{o}$ was appreciably larger than $F_{\mathrm{c}}$. The cause of this is probably due to the large variations in the spot-area of the reflections, owing to the
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large thickness to breadth ratio of the crystal used. A quantitative analysis of this effect shows that an absorption correction would in fact enhance it. Refinement was accordingly continued with data uncorrected for absorption. The match between $F_{o}$ and $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ was now slightly better; final

[^0]agreement factors were $R^{\prime} 0.03 ; R 0.08$. Observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 20466 ( 40 pp., 1 microfiche).* The scattering-factor curves used for carbon and oxygen were taken from ref. 5, for hydrogen from ref. 6, and for bromine from ref. 7.

Standard deviations of all parameters were estimated by the usual least-squares formula ${ }^{8}[n=(2298+37=2335)$, $s=307]$.

## RESULTS

Table 1 lists the fractional co-ordinates, together with their estimated standard deviations of all atoms in the two molecules in the asymmetric unit, referred to the unit-cell axes. The $\sigma$ values in the positional parameters are nearly

Table 3
Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and standard deviations


Table 4
Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and standard deviations

|  | Molecule (1) | Molecule (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 120.7(9) | 119.4(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 120.3(10) | 121.29) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 119.3(9) | $120 \cdot 8(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 120.1(8) | $118.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.8(9) | 120.6(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $119.8(10)$ | 119.5(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 117.3(9) | 120.4(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 122.7(9) | 121.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 127.4(9) | 128.6 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 120.3(9) | 118.2(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | 122.3(9) | 122.9(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 116.9(10) | 118.3(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $120 \cdot 8(10)$ | 118.8(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 116.9(9) | 117.6 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 123.7(9) | 120.5(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 119.4(8) | 121-8(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 118.8(9) | 119.1(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 118.8(9) | 118.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 123.8 (8) | 123.4(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 117.9(8) | 118.4(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.2(9)$ | $118.7(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{Br}(26)$ | $116.5(7)$ | $117 \cdot 6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{Br}(26)$ | 119.7(7) | 119.0(8) |

isotropic and average to $0.001,0.010$, and $0.008 \AA$ for bromine, carbon, and oxygen atoms.
${ }^{6}$ R. McWeeny, Acta Cryst., 1951, 4, 513.
? A. J. Freeman and R. E. Watson, 'International Tables for $X$-Ray Crystallography,' vol. III, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962.
${ }^{8 .}$ D. Rabinovich and G. M. J. Schmidt, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1970, 6.

Table 5
Intermolecular contact distances $(\AA)$ between pairs of molecules identified by letters as in Figures 1 and 2. The fractional co-ordinates of the atoms in the two molecules $\mathrm{A}(1)$ and $\mathrm{A}(2)$ in the asymmetric unit are $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$, and $x_{2}$, $y_{2}, z_{2}$ respectively
All symmetry independent $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ and $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ distances $<3 \cdot 8 \AA, \mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{H}<3 \cdot 4 \AA, \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}<3 \cdot 6 \AA, \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}<3 \cdot 2 \AA$ and $\mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}<3 \cdot 0 \AA$ are listed. There are no $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances $<5 \cdot 0 \AA$ and $\mathrm{O} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ distances $<3 \cdot 6 \AA$

Distances between atoms in molecules:

| $A(1)$ at $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}(25) \cdots \operatorname{Br}(26)$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $A(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(13) \cdots \mathrm{H}(19)$ | $3 \cdot 22$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14) \cdots \mathrm{H}(19)$ | $2 \cdot 96$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16) \cdots \mathrm{H}(22)$ | $3 \cdot 12$ |
| $A(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(19) \cdots \mathrm{Br}(26)$ | $3 \cdot 34$ |
|  |  |
| $A(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $3 \cdot 63$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15) \cdots \mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3 \cdot 64$ |

A(1)
$\mathrm{Br}(26) \cdots \mathrm{H}(25) \quad 3 \cdot 34$

| $A(2)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $3 \cdot 64$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15) \cdots \mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3 \cdot 65$ |


| $A(2)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Br}(26) \cdots \mathrm{Br}(26)$ | $3 \cdot 81$ |
| $A(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Br}(26) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | $3 \cdot 75$ |
| $A(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{H}(27)$ | 3.06 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \cdots \mathrm{H}(27)$ | 3.08 |
| $F(2)$ at $\left(x_{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y_{2}\right.$, | $\left.-\frac{1}{2}+z_{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(18) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(18) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $3 \cdot 14$ |
| $F(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(18) \cdots \mathrm{H}(17)$ | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \cdots \mathrm{H}(17)$ | $3 \cdot 02$ |
| $F(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{H}(27)$ | $3 \cdot 11$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4) \cdots \mathrm{H}(27)$ | $3 \cdot 02$ |


| and $A(2)$ at $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(5) \cdots \mathrm{H}(21)$ | $3 \cdot 03$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(21)$ | $3 \cdot 03$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(24) \cdots \mathrm{C}(11)$ | $3 \cdot 20$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(24) \cdots \mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3 \cdot 14$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(24) \cdots \mathrm{C}(13)$ | $3 \cdot 23$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(23) \cdots \mathrm{C}(14)$ | $3 \cdot 13$ |
| $\quad$ and $B(1)$ at $\left(-x_{1}, 1-y_{1}, 1-z_{1}\right)$ |  |

and $C(2)$ at $\left(1-x_{2}, 2-y_{2}, 1-z_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{C}(14) \cdots \mathrm{H}(19) & 3 \cdot 01 \\
\mathrm{C}(16) \cdots \mathrm{H}(22) & 3 \cdot 18
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { and } D(1) \text { at }\left(x_{1},-1+y_{1}, z_{1}\right)
$$

| $\mathrm{C}(5) \cdots \mathrm{H}(22)$ | $3 \cdot 11$ | $\mathrm{H}(20) \cdots \mathrm{O}(10)$ | 2.82 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(19)$ | $3 \cdot 05$ | $\mathrm{H}(23) \cdots \mathrm{O}(10)$ | $2 \cdot 77$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(22)$ | $3 \cdot 12$ | $\mathrm{H}(28) \cdots \mathrm{O}(10)$ | 2.85 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15) \cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $3 \cdot 04$ | $\mathrm{H}(20) \cdots \mathrm{H}(22)$ | 2.79 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16) \cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $3 \cdot 15$ | $\mathrm{H}(21) \cdots \mathrm{H}(19)$ | $2 \cdot 74$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(21) \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $3 \cdot 07$ | $\mathrm{H}(27) \cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $2 \cdot 78$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(27) \cdots \mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3 \cdot 10$ |  |  |


| $\mathrm{H}(27) \cdots \mathrm{C}(13)$ | $3 \cdot 10$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $3 \cdot 24$ |

and $D(2)$ at $\left(x_{2},-1+y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$

| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $\cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $3 \cdot 20$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $\cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $3 \cdot 22$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $\cdots \mathrm{H}(25)$ | $3 \cdot 04$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $\cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $3 \cdot 04$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $\cdots \mathrm{C}(4)$ | $3 \cdot 14$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $\cdots \mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2 \cdot 90$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $\cdots \mathrm{C}(6)$ | $3 \cdot 12$ |
| and $D(2)$ |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(5) \cdots \mathrm{H}(22)$ | $3 \cdot 21$ | H(20) | $2 \cdot 84$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(19)$ | $3 \cdot 07$ | $\mathrm{H}(23)$ | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{H}(22)$ | $3 \cdot 21$ | $\mathrm{H}(28)$ | $2 \cdot 88$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15) \cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $3 \cdot 05$ | $\mathrm{H}(20)$ | $2 \cdot 81$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16) \cdots \mathrm{H}(24)$ | $3 \cdot 11$ | H(21) | $2 \cdot 72$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(21) \cdots \mathrm{C}(3)$ | $3 \cdot 04$ | $\mathrm{H}(27)$ | $2 \cdot 79$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(27) \cdots \mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3 \cdot 12$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(27) \cdots \mathrm{C}(13)$ | $3 \cdot 18$ |  |  |
| and $E(1)$ at $\left(-x_{1}, \frac{1}{2}+y_{1}, \frac{1}{2}-z_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| and $F(1)$ at $\left(x_{1}, \frac{1}{2}-y_{1},-\frac{1}{2}+z_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Br}(26) \cdots \mathrm{H}(17) \quad 2 \cdot 93 \\ & \\ & \quad \text { and } G(1) \text { at }\left(-x_{1},-y_{1}, 1-z_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

$$
\text { and } H(1) \text { at }\left(1-x_{1},-y_{1}, 1-z_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\text { and } H(2) \text { at }\left(1-x_{2},-y_{2}, 1-z_{2}\right)
$$

and $I(2)$ at $\left(1-x_{2}, \frac{3}{2}+y_{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z_{2}\right)$

The best plane equations of the phenyl (A), bromophenyl ( $\mathbf{B}$ ) and 1,3 -enone groups and the deviations of the relevant atoms from these planes are in Table 2. Bond lengths and angles with their $\sigma$ values are in Tables 3 and 4. Figures 1 and 2 show the packing arrangement down the [100] and [010] axes. Table 5 lists short molecular contact distances.

## DISCUSSION

Bond Lengths and Angles.-The root-mean-square value of the estimated standard deviations in bond lengths $(0.014 \AA)$ compares well with the value obtained from the ' bond scatter' defined as the root-mean-square deviation from the mean of the aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. The mean value of these 24 bonds is $1.394 \AA$ with a root-mean-square deviation from the mean of $0.015 \AA$. A $\chi^{2}$ test indicates no significant differences in the bond lengths of the two individual molecules; in fact, the ' bond scatter ' computed for the 17 pairs of equivalent bonds in the two molecules is somewhat smaller ( $0.010 \AA$ ) than the values already mentioned.

The means of bonds of the 1,3 -enone systems compare well with those observed for similar molecules: ${ }^{9}$ $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7) 1.46,1.455 ; \mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8) 1.33,1.320$; $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ $1 \cdot 48,1 \cdot 477 ; \mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{O}(10) 1 \cdot 24,1 \cdot 227$; and $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11) 1 \cdot 50$, $1 \cdot 499 \AA$. The mean $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond length ( $1.895 \AA$ ) agrees with values quoted in the literature, e.g., bis- $(m$-bromobenzoyl)methane ( $1.901 \AA$ ),,$^{10} N$-( $p$-bromophenyl) sydnone ( $1.89 \AA$ ), ${ }^{11}$ and 4-bromo-2'-nitrochalcone ( $1.94 \AA$ )..$^{12}$

The aromatic $C-C-C$ angles of ring $A$ in the two molecules show no significant deviation from the expected value of $120^{\circ}$. In ring $\boldsymbol{B}$ however, the deviation at $\mathrm{C}(14)$ is large and is due probably to the bromine substituent. This effect has been observed in other bromobenzene compound, see e.g., refs. 10 and $12\left(122.7,123^{\circ}\right)$, and also in some chlorobenzenes. ${ }^{13,14}$ A similar effect involving another electron-withdrawing group $\left(-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$

[^1]has been observed and discussed. ${ }^{15}$ The bond angles in the 1,3 -enone system are similar to those found in related molecules. ${ }^{9}$

The match in bond lengths and angles in the two molecules of this structure and the agreement with those observed in other structure are reflected in the $1,3-$ and 1,4-interactions which show a high degree of constancy in a series of molecules. ${ }^{9}$

Molecular Shape.-The molecules adopt the cisoid conformation, in agreement with the majority of other reported chalcone structures. The shape of the two molecules in the asymmetric unit is the same, the slight differences in bond twist and planarity of the various molecular parts being insignificant. The molecule as a whole is not planar; the maximum deviation ( $0.6 \AA$ ) is centred at $\mathrm{C}(6)$. The phenyl rings are planar within their estimated standard deviations while $C(7), C(9)$, and the Br atoms deviate significantly from their respective phenyl groups; there are also appreciable deviations from planarity in the 1,3 -enone system (Table 2).

The essential difference in shape between the present molecule (I) and other chalcones such as the parent compound ${ }^{16}$ and its $p$-methoxy-derivative ${ }^{8}$ lies in the large twist $\left(26^{\circ}\right)$ about $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ and the large angle $\left(50^{\circ}\right)$ between the phenyl rings in (I) as compared to typical values of 4 and $11^{\circ}$ in the unsubstituted chalcone.

Packing Arrangement.-The two molecules in the asymmetric unit [designated by $A(1)$ and $A(2)$ in Table 5 and in Figures 1 and 2] are related by a pseudo-b-glide nearly normal to the $a$ axis. The structure may be conveniently described in terms of molecular stacks along [010] consisting of $A(1)$ and $A(2)$ molecules and their symmetry-related stacks. These stacks are locked together by the bromine atoms spiralling along the $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{1}}$ axes at $\left(0 y \frac{1}{4}\right)$ and $\left(0 y \frac{3}{4}\right)$. Other short contacts between the stacks are mainly of the $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{H}$ types.
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